Constructing Customized Multi-Hop Topologies in Dense Wireless Network Testbeds

Florian Kauer and Volker Turau

International Conference on Ad Hoc Networks and Wireless (AdHoc-Now) September 7th, 2018



Institute of Telematics Hamburg University of Technology

TUHH



Introduction

Validation of Research

Experimental validation of novel concepts in a realistic environment forms an important step in identifying flaws in theoretical assumptions and implementation problems

- Execution environments are often hard to accurately model through simulations
- Set-up of experiments with large systems comes with a high effort
- Solution: Remotely usable test environments

Wireless Network Testbeds

- Over the years, multiple small scale wireless sensor testbeds were set up and torn down in the scope of various projects
- Instead of setting up a new testbed it is advisable to resort to existing testbeds providing convenient remote control
- Conducting experiments in existing testbeds gives reproducible results
- This allows a fair comparison of algorithms and protocols

Issues with Wireless Network Testbeds

- Most testbeds span a comparatively small area where every transceiver often can reach every other node in one hop
- Validating protocols often requires very specific topologies
 - Fixed network density or diameter
 - Symmetric or asymmetric links
 - Regular or irregular structure
- Our contribution
 - Approach to construct topologies in existing testbeds satisfying given conditions for evaluating multi-hop protocols
 - Implementation provided as open-source software

Requirement: Topology where each node has three neighbors



All possible links



Possible links after reduction of transmission power and sensitivity



Largest connected component (rearranged)



Selected topology where each node has three neighbors



Selected topology where each node has three neighbors



Topology Generation Procedure

Emulating Channel Conditions

- Channel conditions are created by manipulating transmission power and sensitivity of transceivers
- Example: IoT-LAB
 - Hardware: M3 Open Node (ARM Cortex M3, Atmel AT86RF231)
 - Transceiver can be configured with output power from -17 to 3 dBm and reception sensitivity from -48 to -101 dBm
- $\Lambda_{a,b}$: Reduction of signal power between nodes *a* and *b*
- Λ_{a,b} depends on losses between transceivers and antennas, gain of antennas, path loss, etc.
- Communication between *a* and *b* is possible if

 $\Lambda_{a,b} \leq \text{transmission power} - \text{sensitivity}$

Topology Generation Procedure

- 1. Measure $\Lambda_{a,b}$ between every pair a, b of nodes
- 2. Define neighborhood graphs depending on transmission power and sensitivity setting
- 3. Use neighborhood graphs to construct a topology by selecting a subset of nodes and corresponding transceiver settings
- 4. Verify selection in real testbed

Pairwise $\Lambda_{a,b}$ Measurements

- Every node repeatedly sends out packets with full transmission power
- Nodes where signal is strong enough to be received, measure RSSI of packet
- $\Lambda_{a,b} = \text{transmission power} \text{RSSI}$
- Repeat and compute average

Pairwise $\Lambda_{a,b}$ Measurements



Realizable Graphs

- Measurements determine usable bidirectional links for each link budgets
- $\bullet \text{ For given } \hat{\lambda} > 0 \text{ define } E_{\hat{\lambda}} = \left\{ (a, b) \, | \, \Lambda_{a, b} \leq \hat{\lambda} \land \Lambda_{b, a} \leq \hat{\lambda} \right\}$
- $G_{\hat{\lambda}} = \left(\textit{V},\textit{E}_{\hat{\lambda}}
 ight)$ is the neighborhood graph for $\hat{\lambda}$

Neighborhood Graphs for the Saclay Testbed



Distribution of Node Degrees (Saclay Testbed)



Constructing Dedicated Topologies

- Evaluation and debugging of protocols often require dedicated topologies with specific properties
- Examples
 - Topologies with constant neighborhood size
 - Tree shaped topologies, e.g., line graphs
- Procedure
 - Select subset of nodes of suitable neighborhood graph

Example I: Topologies with Density c

ILP problem: Binary indicator variable x(u) for node u of $G_{\hat{\lambda}}$

$$\max_{x} \sum_{u \in V} x(u) \text{ s.t.}$$

$$c x(u) \leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{N}_{u,\lambda}} x(v) \leq c + (m-c)(1-x(u)) \quad \forall u \in V$$

Solution gives maximal subset of V such that induced graph is regular of degree c

Application



Example II: Tree Topology with Given Shape

Goal

Tree with root v₀ such that number of nodes with distance δ from v₀ equals κ(δ)

- Examples
 - $\kappa(\delta) = \delta + 1$: Breadth of tree increases
 - $\kappa(\delta) = 1$: Linear topology
- Additional requirement: Make topologies robust against fluctuations
 - There are no links with A_{a,b} ≤ Â + ∆ that would change topology if conditions change slightly

Example II: Tree Topology with Given Shape

```
procedure MONITOREDBFS(V, E, v_0, \hat{\lambda}, \Delta, \kappa)
       V_{sub}(0) \leftarrow \{v_0\}, \delta \leftarrow 0
      do
             V_{sub}(\delta + 1) \leftarrow \{\}
             for all u \in V_{sub}(\delta) do
                   for all v \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu \hat{\lambda}} do
                          if v \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^{\delta+1} V_{sub}(i) then
                                 if \mathcal{N}_{i,\lambda+\Lambda} \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^{\delta-1} V_{sub}(i) = \emptyset then
                                        V_{\text{sub}}(\delta+1) \leftarrow V_{\text{sub}}(\delta+1) \cup \{v\}
             \delta \leftarrow \delta + 1
      while |V_{sub}(\delta)| \geq \kappa(\delta)
       V_{\text{sub}}(\delta) \leftarrow \{\}, \delta_{\text{best}} \leftarrow \delta - 1
                                                                             ▷ remove partially filled layer
      return (\delta_{\text{best}}, V_{\text{sub}})
```

Example II: Tree Topology with Given Shape

- Constructed graphs can be very large
- Procedure to reduce number of nodes, while maintaining requirements is based on ILP
 - Strip away all nodes not on a path to a higher depth with additional constraint of maintaining κ (δ) nodes for depth δ

Application: Tree of Depth 5 at Lille Site



Real Positions of Lille Tree



FIT/IoT-LAB Testbed Overview

Testbed	Nodes		$\kappa(\delta) = \delta + 1$			$\kappa(\delta) = 1$		
	(available)		$\Delta = 15$	$\Delta = 10$	$\Delta = 5$	$\Delta = 15$	$\Delta = 10$	$\Delta = 5$
Grenoble	364	δ_{best}	8	13	15	15	37	38
		Â	73-74	70	69-73	71	69	61
Lilla	220	δ_{best}	5	7	8	8	10	12
Line	229	Â	70-74	73-74	64-70	64-74	64-66	67-69
Dorio	60	δ_{best}	2	3	4	3	5	9
Falls	09	Â	46-66	49-53	48-51	46-49	49	48
Strachourg	62	δ_{best}	2	3	4	3	4	7
Suasbourg	05	Â	48-74	50-57	49-56	50-53	46-52	51-52
Luon	17	δ_{best}	-	2	2	2	2	4
Lyon	17	Â		54-58	49-63	45-60	45-65	51
Seclar	12	δ_{best}	-	2	2	2	3	4
Saciay	12	Â		46	46-51	45-51	46	46



Conclusion

Conclusion & Outlook

- Proposal to generate multi-hop topologies in dense wireless network testbeds
- Based on measurements of signal strengths power and sensitivity values are selected
- Suitable subsets of nodes are selected using ILP

Future work

- More node selection methods
- Application to more testbeds

Constructing Customized Multi-Hop Topologies in Dense Wireless Network Testbeds

Floriar

Volker Turau

 Phone
 +49 / (0)40 428 78 3530

 e-Mail
 turau@tuhh.de

http://www.ti5.tu-harburg.de/staff/turau

OW)

TUHH

Institute of Telematics Hamburg University of Technology