A Self-stabilizing Publish/Subscribe Middleware for WSN

Gerry Siegemund, Khaled Maâmra, and Volker Turau

International Conference on Networked Systems March 10, 2015



Institute of Telematics TUHH Hamburg University of Technology

Domain Overview



Gerry Siegemund A Self-stabilizing Publish/Subscribe Middleware for WSN

Self-stabilization

A system is self-stabilizing if and only if:

1. Starting from any state, it is guaranteed that the system will eventually reach a correct state (convergence).

2. Given that the system is in a correct state, it is guaranteed to stay in a correct state, provided that no fault occurs (closure).

- any : a faulty state (e.g., due to message loss), or wrongly initialized ⇒ not specified
- correct : well defined: for pub/sub routing tables correct & all published message reach all subscribers

Considered model in WSN:

Distributed, unfair scheduler with message passing

Topic Based Pub/Sub Systems in WSN

Usage in WSN

- Publisher (P)
 - Acquire sensor data
 - Aggregate values
 - Publication (Pub-Msg)
 - Advertisement (Adv-Msg)
- Subscriber (S)
 - Actuator
 - Sink
 - Subscription (Sub-Msg)
- Channels (C)
 - Control channel
 - Collection channel



Broker Overlay as Routing Structure

- Broker nodes (Fig.)
- Broker overlay acyclic (alternatively spanning tree)
- Subscribers only attach to "leaf"-brokers
 - P advertises generation of data
 - S subscribes to content
 - Rooting tables are built to "connect" P & S at the broker nodes (filter)



► Gero Mühl et al. Self-stabilizing publish/subscribe systems: Algorithms and evaluation. 2005[15]

Self-stabilizing Attempt

- Periodic resending of advertisement and subscription (*leasing*)
- On given broker overlay (Mühl/ Jaeger)
 - Overlay needs to be constructed (self-stabilizing)

Disadvantage:

- Dedicated routing nodes
- Adding notes that are only connected to leaf nodes ?
- Higher density, more useful communication links unused



Self-stabilizing Attempt II

- Sub-Msg "flooded" (send to every node) trough the tree
- Routing (Pub-Msg) on a tree
- Routing table states if S in sub-tree/ parent
- Regular exchange of neighboring routing tables (self-stabilizing error correction)
 - Scaling: parts of neig. table are exchanged (bloom filter)
 - No renewal of routing tables
 - Self-stabilizing property uncertain

Zhenhui Shen. Techniques for building a scalable and reliable distributed content-based publish/subscribe system. 2007[14]





to physical

Medium Access Control - Layer

- Broadcast (Back-off)
- Unicast (Back-off, ACK, Retry, ...)



Neighborhood Management - Layer

- Stable neighborhood relation
- Restricted set of neighbors, i.e, Topology control
- Dynamic, addition/removal of nodes possible
- Gerry Siegemund et al. Brief

Announcement: Agile and Stable Neighborhood Protocol for WSNs. 2013[4]



to physical

Self-stabilizing Spanning Tree - Layer

- Well known concept
- Distance to dedicated root node is shared with neighbors
- Closest node to root is excepted as parent
- Each node shares parent id
- \Rightarrow Parents can deduce children



to physical

Self-stabilizing Virtual Ring - Layer

- Ordered structure of node positions
- Each node has one prede-/suc-cessor
- Routing over all positions is straight forward



Self-stabilizing Publish/Subscribe - Layer

- Multiple channels
- Routing over virtual ring



to physical

Ring Routing Structure



- Ordered structure of node positions
- Each node has one prede-/suc-cessor
- Routing is straight forward
- Not every topology is a ring
- $\rightarrow\,$ therefore virtual ring will be used

A Virtual Ring





- each physical node may have multiple positions on virtual ring
- physical structure might not contain straight forward ring
- example: only positions depicted (original node ids transparent to upper layer(s))

A Virtual Ring with Short-Cuts

Short-Cut

Actual connection between nodes (in underlying topology) which is not already part of the virtual ring.







- A tree is used as e.g. Bosilca proposed
- Sequential (depth first) search could be used
- For the ring itself Pos would not be explicitly necessary



- A tree is used as e.g. Bosilca proposed
- Sequential (depth first) search could be used
- For the ring itself Pos would not be explicitly necessary



- A tree is used as e.g. Bosilca proposed
- Sequential (depth first) search could be used
- For the ring itself Pos would not be explicitly necessary



- A tree is used as e.g. Bosilca proposed
- Sequential (depth first) search could be used
- For the ring itself Pos would not be explicitly necessary



- Tree is build
- Each leaf node sends an up-cast message (ucm) with number of children c = 1



- Parent waits until all children sent dcm
- Aggregates number of sub-tree children and sends dcm with

 $c = \sum c_s + 1$ up to their parent



- Parent waits until all children sent dcm
- Aggregates number of sub-tree children and sends dcm with

 $c = \sum c_s + 1$ up to their parent



 Root collects data of all children



- Calculate root Pos
- $ightarrow \begin{array}{l} Pos_{\it first} := 0 \ Pos_{\it next} := \ Pos_{\it prev} + 2* Children_{\it sub-tree} \end{array}$
 - Root sends dcm with corresponding position to appropriate child
 - Each child computes its Pos



Children compute Pos & send dcm



- Position aware tree is done, i.e., the virtual ring
- Number of positions on the ring : 2(n 1) (same as Bosilca)



Each node shares its positions with its neighbors



Example with (at first) one subscriber S at position 26

Subscribes to 1 channel



- Sub-Msg travel around the ring → received by every node SUBMsG <all Positions of S>
- Each node calculates and stores, for all its *own positions*, the next position on the way to the next subscriber S
- self-stabilization ensured through leasing (periodic refreshing of subscriptions / unsubscribe timeout)



- Sub-Msg travel around the ring → received by every node SUBMsG <all Positions of S>
- Each node calculates and stores, for all its own positions, the next position on the way to the next subscriber S
- self-stabilization ensured through leasing (periodic refreshing of subscriptions / unsubscribe timeout)



- Sub-Msg travel around the ring → received by every node SUBMsG <all Positions of S>
- Each node calculates and stores, for all its *own positions*, the next position on the way to the next subscriber S
- self-stabilization ensured through leasing (periodic refreshing of subscriptions / unsubscribe timeout)



- Sub-Msg travel around the ring → received by every node SUBMsG <all Positions of S>
- Each node calculates and stores, for all its own positions, the next position on the way to the next subscriber S
- self-stabilization ensured through leasing (periodic refreshing of subscriptions / unsubscribe timeout)



 \rightarrow Pub-Msg are routed from P along the forwarding positions

Best case routing: from P to the next S to next S, ..., without using intermediate nodes



 \rightarrow Pub-Msg are routed from P along the forwarding positions

Best case routing: from P to the next S to next S, ..., without using intermediate nodes



 \rightarrow Pub-Msg are routed from P along the forwarding positions

Best case routing: from P to the next S to next S, ..., without using intermediate nodes



- Only the closest S in front of a node (counter clockwise) influences the *fPos*
- Routing table at position 1 (and 7) need to be changed to avoid excluding S at position 15 from routing path



- Only the closest S in front of a node (counter clockwise) influences the *fPos*
- Routing table at position 1 (and 7) need to be changed to avoid excluding S at position 15 from routing path



- Only the closest S in front of a node (counter clockwise) influences the *fPos*
- Routing table at position 1 (and 7) need to be changed to avoid excluding S at position 15 from routing path



- Only the closest S in front of a node (counter clockwise) influences the *fPos*
- Routing table at position 1 (and 7) need to be changed to avoid excluding S at position 15 from routing path



- Only the closest S in front of a node (counter clockwise) influences the *fPos*
- Routing table at position 1 (and 7) need to be changed to avoid excluding S at position 15 from routing path



- Only the closest S in front of a node (counter clockwise) influences the *fPos*
- Routing table at position 1 (and 7) need to be changed to avoid excluding S at position 15 from routing path

Shorter Path vs Shortest Path



- \rightarrow much shorter path
 - but not shortest path (light blue)

Complete System Assessment



Comparison vs Flooding



 grid network, multiple densities (up to 20 nodes in range), OMNeT++ with MiXiM (collisions, fading)

Comparison vs Flooding

Message overhead

- Routing structure overhead:
 - Neighborhood management
 - Spanning tree
 - Virtual ring
- More subscribers ⇒ increased routing efforts ⇒ less gain for our approach
- Broadcast ⇒ number of messages constant (not considering message loss)

Timings for rebuilding of routing structure need to be proportional to publication interval (e.g., rebuilding routing structure more regularly then sending publications increases overhead)

A Self-stabilizing Publish/Subscribe Middleware for WSN

Gerry Siegemund, Khaled Maâmra, and Volker Turau

International Co

Gerry Siegemund

Research Associate

Phone	+49 / (0)40 428 /8 3448
e-Mail	gerry.siegemund@tu-harburg.de
http://www.ti5.tu-harburg.de/staff/siegemund	

Institute of Telematics TUHH Hamburg University of Technology